United States District Court Western District of Oklahoma

Brandon Shane Harris, ODOC 854702,

CIV 22 230

V. FNU Kushner, FNU Henderson, FNU Vajila, FNU Slonker, David Bowers, FNY Poinat, FNU Borger, FNU Greer, FNU Garcia, FALL Funk, FNU scholer, FNU Davis, FNU Poiror, FNU Holberry, FNU Kenelle, FNU Con, FNU Sias, FNU Rast, FNU windham, FNU Rhoads, William (Buddy) Honaker, Defendants.

MAR 2 1 2022

CARMELITA REEDER SHINN, CLERK

Pro se Prisoner Civil Rights Complaint

Plaintiff

"Ex

Brandon Shane Harris DOC 854702 216 N. Murray St

- If the court grants your request, the \$50 administrative fee will not be assessed and your total filing fee will be \$350.
- You will be required to make an initial partial payment, which the court will calculate, and then prison officials will deduct the remaining balance from your prison accounts over time.
- These deductions will be made until the entire \$350 filing fee is paid, regardless of how the court decides your case.
- 7. The Court will review your complaint before deciding whether to authorize service of process on the defendants. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A; 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c)(1). If the Court grants such permission, the Clerk will send you the necessary instructions and forms.
- 8. If you have been granted permission to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the United States Marshals Service will be authorized to serve the defendants based on information you provide. If you have not been granted permission to proceed *in forma pauperis*, you will be responsible for service of a separate summons and copy of the complaint on each defendant in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

COMPLAINT

I. Jurisdiction is asserted pursuant to:

✓ 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) (NOTE: these provisions generally apply to state prisoners), or

Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (NOTE: these provisions generally apply to federal prisoners)

If you want to assert jurisdiction under different or additional statutes, list these below:

U.S. Const. ands. 1, 8 and 14;	OKla Const art. 2 & 9
Free speak	h, and to petition for Rodress
· Eighth cruel and unusul	Punishment
. Fourtheesikh Duc Process	

State whether you are a:
Convicted and sentenced state prisoner
Convicted and sentenced federal prisoner
Pretrial detainee
Immigration detainee
Civilly committed detainee
Other (please explain)
Previous Federal Civil Actions or Appeals
List each civil action or appeal you have brought in a federal court while you were cerated or detained in any facility.
1. Prior Civil Action/Appeal No. 1
a. Parties to previous lawsuit:
Plaintiff(s): Branden Shane Harris
Defendant(s): Chris West
b. Court and docket number: CIV-19-185 - R
c. Approximate date of filing: 2019
d. Issues raised: Housing Conditions, and other issues
Violating US Const. Amd 8, 14
d
e. Disposition (for example: Did you win? Was the case dismissed? Was summary judgment entered against you? Is the case still pending? Did you appeal?):
f. Approximate date of disposition: 2020
If there is more than one civil action or appeal, describe the additional civil actions

Parties

- Plaintiff, Brandon Shane Harris, at all times
 relevant this action was an inmate in the custody
 of the Oklahoma Department of Corrections (Doc)
- a. Unless otherwise noted, all Defendants are sued in their Individual Rapacity.
- The Lawton Correctional Facility (LCF), in Lawton, OKlahoma, is a privately operated prison facility contracted with the Oklahoma Department of Corrections (Doc) through LCF's parent company, GEO Group, Inc. (OEO Group)
- 4, GEO Group contract : with Correct Care Solutions to provide medical care to Inmates at LCF.
- 5. Defendant, FNU Kushner, at all times revelant to this action, was a Nurse at the LCF,
- b. Defendant; FNU Henderson, at all times relevent to this action, was a nurse at the LCF.
- 7. Defendant, FNU Vajilo, at all times relevant to this action, was a SHU Officer at LCF

- 8. Defendant, FNU SIONKET, at all times relevant to this action, was an Officer at LCF, who spent a let of time at SHU,
- 9. Defendant, David Bowers, at all times relevant to this action was LCF Warden.
- 10, Defendant, FNU Poirot, at all times relevant to this action, was a LCF Lieutenant.
- 11. Defendant FNU Borger, at all times relevant to this action, was an Officer at LCF.
- 12. Defendant, FNU Greef, at all times relevant to this action, was an officer at LCF.
- 13 Defendant, FNU García, at all times relevant to this action, was an Officer at LCF.
- 14. Defendant, FNU Funk, at all times relevant to this action, was a nurse at LFC.
- 15. Defendant. FNU Scholer, at all times relevant to this action, was an Officer at LCF.

- 16. Defendant, FNU Davis, at all times relevant to this action, an Officer at LCF.
- 17. Defendant, FNU Poiror, at all times relevant to this action, a Lieutenant at LCF.
- 18. Defendant, FNU Holberry, at all times relevant to this action, was a Nurse at LCF.
- 19. Defendant, FNU kenelle, at all times relevant to this action, was a Mental Health Nurse at LCF.
- as. Defendant, FNU Con, at all times relevant to this action was a Treating Prysician at LCF, treating Inmates.
- 21 Defendant, FNU sias, at all times relevant to this action, was Medical Director out LCF.
- 22. Defendant FNU Rast, at all times relaxant to this action, was an Officer at LCF.
- 23. Defendant FIVU Windham, at all times relevant to this action, was an officer at LCF.

- at Defendant, FNU Rhoads, at all times relevant to this action, was the Law Librarian at LRF
- 25. Defendant, William (Budley) Honaker, was at all times relavant to this action the grievance coordinator.

- 6. Do not include claims relating to your criminal conviction or to prison disciplinary proceedings that resulted in loss of good time credits.
 - If a ruling in your favor "would necessarily imply the invalidity" of a criminal conviction or prison disciplinary punishment affecting the time served, then you cannot make these claims in a civil rights complaint unless you have already had the conviction or prison disciplinary proceeding invalidated, for example through a habeas proceeding.

Claims

List the federal right(s) that you believe have been violated, and describe what happened. Each alleged violation of a federal right should be listed separately as its own claim.

1. Cla	m 1:
(1)	List the right that you believe was violated:
. Delik	perate Indifference to serious
medi	sal needs.
(2)	List the defendant(s) to this claim: (If you have sued more than one defendant, specify each person or entity that is a defendant for this particular claim.)
RII	Defendants listed under
	"Parties" above

(3) List the supporting facts:
This matter began with Doc medical staff
refusing to provide easy treatment (a simple
"diabetic snack") to curtail an a currently
flair of diabetic episode
(4) Relief requested: (State briefly exactly what you want the court t do for you.)
compensatory damages
2. Claim II: (1) List the right that you believe was violated:
Retaliation for Demanding Medical Care
(2) List the defendant(s) to this claim: (If you have sued more than on defendant, specify each person or entity that is a defendant for this particular claim.)
All Defendants listed under
"Parties" above
1

(3) List the supporting facts:
After Plaintiff sought help with a current
occurring diabetic incident, nurse simply
walking away in arrogance, leaving plaintiff
to lose conciouness, falling, and being injure
(4) Relief requested: (State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you.)
Compensatory damages
If there are more than two claims that you wish to assert, describe the additional claims using this same format on a separate sheet(s).
VI. Declarations
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Plaintiff's signature March 16, 2022 Date
I further declare under penalty of perjury that I placed this complaint in the prison's legal mail system, with the correct postage attached, on the 17 day of Marca, 20
Plaintiff's signature

10